



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/024,221	10/30/2001	Robert E. Simonson	N938	2150	
75	590 09/23/2003				
Norman Friedland			EXAMINER		
Suite 400 11300 US High		TRUONG, KEVIN THAO			
North Palm Bea	ach, FL 33408	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3731	3	
			DATE MAILED: 09/23/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/024,221		SIMONSON, ROBI	SIMONSON, ROBERT E.				
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Kevin T. Truo	ong	3731					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·							
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	his action is no	n-final.						
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
·	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.								
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers									
9) 🔲 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)									
2) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5		ummary (PTO-413) Paper No formal Patent Application (PT					
J.S. Patent and T	rademark Office			Dort of Paper No. 3					

Application/Control Number: 10/024,221 Page 2

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 7-11 are objected to because of the following informalities: claim 7 is required to have the term "comprising or consisting of". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 4, 6, 9, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "engaging" is unclear as to which part is being referred to, applicant needs to clarify what is meant by said term.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 6-9, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Osada (U.S. 6,162,236).

Application/Control Number: 10/024,221 Page 3

Art Unit: 3731

Osada discloses in figures 1-8, an elongated solid body (40) having a pointed distal tip (45) and a tool portion (44) at the proximal end, wherein said body (40) having a diameter greater one and a half millimeters and also substantially equal to five millimeters (Col. 7, lines 19-29); and plurality of dilators (20,51).

5. Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pattison (U.S. 6,162,236).

Pattison discloses in figures 1-5, an elongated solid body (1) having a pointed distal tip (2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Osada in view of Pattison.

Osada discloses the claimed invention as states above, except for the solid body dilator includes indicia indicative of a graduated scale. However, Pattison teaches that it is known in the surgical art to have indicia indicative of a graduated scale disposed on the dilator.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the Osada's solid dilator with indicia indicative of

Application/Control Number: 10/024,221

Art Unit: 3731

Page 4

a graduated scale disposed thereon as taught by Pattision in order to allow the

physician utilized the depth of the dilator.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Bonati et al. (U.S. 5,472,426).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kevin T. Truong whose telephone number is 703-308-

3767. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to

6:00 PM..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mike Milano can be reached on 703-308-2496. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-3313 for

regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0858.

Kevin T. Truong

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3731

ktt

September 15, 2003